

REMARKS

Claims 26-31 remain in the application. Independent claims 26 and 29 have been amended to include the limitations of at least one lower and one upper rear reflective electrodes. Support for these amendments can be found in the paragraph 53 of the current application. No new matter has been included with these amendments.

A. 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference. *Verdegaal Brothers v. Union Oil Co. of California*, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987). The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the claim. *Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co.*, 9 USPQ2d 1913, 1920 (Fed. Cir. 1989).

Sandstrom- Claims 26-31

Claims 26-31 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by the U.S. Patent No. 6,624,880 issued September 23, 2003 to Sandstrom, et al. (hereinafter “Sandstrom”) (Office Action, page 2). Independent claims 26 and 29 have been amended to include the limitations of at least one lower and upper rear reflective electrode. Support for these amendment can be found in the Detailed Description section of the current application at, for example, page 15, paragraph 53.

The Office relies on Sandstrom for a teaching of a lithography system comprising all of the basic features of the instant claims (Office action, page 2). However, Sandstrom does not disclose or even suggest the limitation of a reflective liquid crystal display comprising at least one lower reflective rear electrode and at least one upper reflective rear electrode, as recited in

the amended claims 26 and 29. Therefore, since Sandstrom does not teach or even suggest all of the limitations of independent claims 26 and 29, and since dependent claims 27-28 and 30-31 depend from claims 26 and 29 respectively, it is respectfully submitted that claims 26-31 are not anticipated by Sandstrom. Because the dependent claims are allowable for at least the reason of depending from allowable base claims, Applicants are not addressing further the rejections of the dependent claims at this time. Thus, reconsideration and withdrawal of the Section 102(e) rejection of claims 26-31 are respectfully requested.

In view of the foregoing remarks, the Applicants request allowance of the application. Please forward further communications to the address of record. If the Examiner needs to contact the below-signed agent to further the prosecution of the application, the contact number is (503) 264-0944.

Respectfully submitted,

/Kathy Ortiz # 54,351/

Dated: December 28, 2004

Kathy Ortiz
Agent for Applicants
Reg. No. 54,351